

Buisset J.¹, Norris J.², Puech P.³, Leroy X.⁴, Drumez E.⁵, Villers A.¹, Olivier J.¹

¹Lille University Hospital, Dept. of Urology, Lille, France, ²University College London, Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, London, United Kingdom, ³Lille University Hospital, Dept. of Radiology, Lille, France, ⁴Lille University Hospital, Dept. of Histopathology, Lille, France, ⁵Lille University Hospital, Dept. of Statistics, Lille, France

Introduction & Objectives: Prostate biopsy should be discussed with the patient in case of negative MRI (nMRI) and low clinical suspicious of prostate cancer (PCa). Primary objective was to describe the risk of clinically significant PCa (csPCa) in a nMRI biopsy-naïve population at baseline and during long-term follow-up. Secondary objective was to evaluate clinical factors and PSA as predictors of csPCa at baseline.

Materials & Methods: All 503 consecutive biopsy-naïve patients referred in 2007-2017 for biopsy with nMRI (PIRADS1-2) who had systematic 12-core-biopsies (SB) at baseline were included. Clinical factors were digital rectal examination (DRE), PCa family history and PSA. In case of suspicious DRE or PSA kinetics during follow-up, MRI and biopsy were performed. CsPCa was defined as either GG1 with cancer core length >5 mm or ≥3 positive SB in addition to GG≥2(csPCa-1) or any GG≥2(csPCa-2). Univariate and multivariate models were fitted to identify predictors of csPCa risk.

Results: At baseline, biopsy showed csPCa-1 in 9%(n=45) and csPCa-2 in 6%(n=29) and non-csPCa in 22%(n=111) (table 1). At median follow-up of 4yrs(IQR:1.6-7.1), 31%(95%CI:27-36) of 415 untreated patients had a second MRI and 24%(95%CI:20-28) a second biopsy which showed csPCa-1 in 5%(21/415,95%CI:3-7), csPCa-2 in 2%(7/415,95%CI:1-3) and non-csPCa in 8%. Overall incidence was 13%(n=66/503,95%CI:7-21) for csPCa-1, 7%(n=36/503,95%CI:5-9%) for csPCa-2 and 2%(n=12/503,95%CI:1.1-3.7) for high risk PCa. Predictors of csPCa risk were PSA_d≥0.15ng/mL/mL(OR=2.43[1.19-4.21]), clinical stage≥T2a(OR=3.32[1.69-6.53]) and PCa family history(OR=2.38[1.10-6.16]). Use of PSA_d≥0.15ng/ml/ml, abnormal DRE and PCa family history would have decreased from 9% to 2.4% the risk of missing csPCa-1 at baseline while avoiding biopsy in 56%.Risk of csPCa in a negative MRI biopsy-naïve population was 6%-9% at baseline and 7%-13% at long-term follow-up depending on csPCa definition.

Table 1 Baseline clinical, biological and biopsy results

Variable	n*	
Median age, yr (IQR)	503	62.94 (58 - 68)
Median BMI, kg/cm ² (IQR)	406	26.27 (23 - 28)
PCa family history, n (%)	447	60 (13.4)
Median PSA, ng/mL(IQR)	503	6.85 (4.7 - 8)
Median prostate volume, mL(IQR)	489	59.89 (40 - 70)
cT stage, n (%) :	503	
T1c		428 (85)
T2a		65 (13)
T2b		6 (1.2)
T2c		6 (1.2)
T3/T4		0 (0)
Median PSA density, ng/mL/mL (IQR)	489	0.13 (0.08 - 0.16)
PSA density ≥ 0.15 ng/mL/mL, n (%)	489	164 (33.5)
PSA doubling time, month (IQR)	320	38.81 (12.4 - 51)
Median PSA velocity, ng/mL/yr (IQR)	320	1.77 (0.4 - 1.9)
ISUP Grade Group at 1st biopsy series, n (%):	156	
GG 1		127 (81)
GG 2		21 (14)
GG3-5		8 (5)
Diagnosis of PCa at baseline biopsies, n (%)		156 (31)
csPCa-1		45 (9)
csPCa-2		29 (6)
non-csPCa (all definitions)		111 (22)
benign (no PCa)		347 (69)
Metastatic stage at diagnosis, n (%)	503	1 (0.2%)

* Data were not available for all patients (missing data or only one pre-biopsy PSA results)

BMI = body mass index, PSA = prostate specific antigen, ISUP = International Society of Urological Pathology, csPCa = clinically significant prostate cancer. non-csPCa = non-clinically significant prostate cancer

Conclusions: Risk of csPCa in a negative MRI biopsy-naïve population was 6%-9% at baseline and 7%-13% at long-term follow-up depending on csPCa definitions.